

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/757,424	01/11/2001	David Bain	59BAI002.C11	1307
·	7590 06/04/2004		EXAMINER	
MEREK, BLACKMON & VOORHEES, LLC 673 S. WASHINGTON ST. ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			MEINECKE DIAZ, SUSANNA M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3623	
			DATE MAILED: 06/04/2004	i.

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		K
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/757,424	BAIN, DAVID
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Susanna M. Diaz	3623
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	appears on the cover sheet w	l l
Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a I - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion. - Faiture to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stated any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirlood will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become AB	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)
Status	•	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11	January 2001	
_	his action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow		ers, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice unde		
Disposition of Claims	·	
	•	
4) Claim(s) 1 is/are pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	rawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	Nor alastian requirement	
are subject to restriction and	aror election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a	ccepted or b)⊡ objected to l	by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	an priority under 35 H.S.C. &	110(a) (d) or (f)
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1.☐ Certified copies of the priority docume		119(a)-(u) or (r).
2. Certified copies of the priority docume		pplication No
 Copies of the certified copies of the pr application from the International Bure 	iority documents have been	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li		received
	or or the contined copies not i	eceiveu.
ttachment(s)	_	
) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		ummary (PTO-413)
) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0	8) 5) Notice of In)/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) 🔲 Other:	·

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claim 1 is presented for examination.

Priority

2. An application in which the benefits of an earlier application are desired must contain a specific reference to the prior application(s) in the first sentence of the specification of in an application data sheet (37 CFR 1.78(a)(2) and (a)(5)). The specific reference to any prior nonprovisional application must include the relationship (i.e., continuation, divisional, or continuation-in-part) between the applications except when the reference is to a prior application of a CPA assigned the same application number.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

3. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

4. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Claim 1 recites a "network"; however, the claim comprises no body.

Furthermore, there are no claim elements; therefore, it is not clear to which statutory class claim 1 is directed.

Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 09/757,424 -

Art Unit: 3623

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 6. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites a "network"; however, the claim comprises no body.

Furthermore, there are no claim elements; the entire claim is effectively a preamble meriting no patentable weight. For example, the phrase "for conducting a contest based on commissions" amounts to mere intended use and merits no patentable weight.

Additionally, the claim recites that "users *can* select..." The fact that users are capable are making a selection does not positively recite whether or not the users actually perform the selection, thereby further rendering the claim vague and indefinite.

It is not clear what the relationship between selecting recipients of commissions or voted credits and determining a winner of a contest is.

Furthermore, it is not understood what Applicant's intended metes and bounds of a "one-click" purchase are. Applicant does not provide a clear definition of "one-click" in the specification or in the claims. Additionally, the Examiner fails to understand the importance of the purchases being "one-click" as opposed to any other types of purchases since the type of purchases does not seem to have any bearing on the recited commissions, voted credits, and contest.

Application/Control Number: 09/757,424

Art Unit: 3623

In summary, claim 1 fails to set forth any reasonable understanding of the metes and bounds of Applicant's invention, thereby rendering the claimed invention so vague and indefinite that the Examiner is at a loss as to what Applicant's invention is. Consequently, the Examiner has provided some art rejections below that address her best attempt at understanding the claimed invention.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 8. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Nakanishi (JP 10-326317 A).
- 9. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Schweitzwer (US 2001/0089464 A1), which claims priority to Provisional Application No. 60/182,363.

Art Unit: 3623

Conclusion

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Susanna M. Diaz whose telephone number is (703) 305-1337. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9 am - 5 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tariq Hafiz can be reached on (703) 305-9643.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-1113.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

or faxed to:

(703)305-7687

[Official communications; including

After Final communications labeled

"Box AF"]

(703)746-7048

[Informal/Draft communications, labeled

"PROPOSED" or "DRAFT"]

Hand delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park 5, 2451 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, 22202, 7th floor receptionist.

Susanna M. Diaz Primary Examiner Art Unit 3623 May 28, 2004